# Equilibrium portfolios and equity premium with wealth heterogeneity and uncertainty aversion

Dmitry Makarov Astrid Schornick

First International Moscow Finance Conference LFE and ICEF, 2011

## **Motivation**

- Three salient features of household investments:
  - (i) many households do not participate in the stock market
  - (ii) poorer are less likely to participate
  - (iii) among participants, wealthier households invest a larger share of their wealth in the risky assets
- These features are robust across countries and time periods
- Looking at these features individually, there are models explaining each
- Objectives:
  - (i) provide a *unified* explanation for the above three patterns
  - (ii) study the link between endogenous degree of participation and equity premium

#### **Preview of Results**

- Tractable model whose key ingredients are:
  - (i) wealth heterogeneity
  - (ii) wealthier investors have lower absolute risk aversion
  - (iii) wealthier investor have lower ambiguity about the mean stock return
- Two possible equilibrium outcomes, with full participation and with limited participation, depending on wealth heterogeneity
- In limited participation equilibrium, the model explains the three salient features of household portfolios
- Proportionally increasing all endowments, equity premium decreases and the degree of participation increases higher participation is associated with lower risk premium

#### **Related Literature**

- Papers explaining non-participation but not increasing wealth share:
  - transaction costs (Cocco (2005), Gomes and Michaelidis (2008))
  - first order risk aversion: uncertainty aversion (Dow and Werlang (1992)), disappointment aversion (Ang, Bekaert, and Li (2005)), loss aversion (Barberis, Huang, and Thaler (2006))
  - other explanations (Berk and Walden (2010))
- Papers explaining increasing wealth share but not non-participation: Peress (2004), Wachter and Yogo (2010), Roussanov (2010)
- Studies looking at the link between participation and equity premium: Saito (1995), Basak and Cuoco (1998), Polkovnichenko (2004), Cao, Wang, and Zhang (2005), Guvenen (2009), Ui (2011)

## **Basic Setting**

- One period setting, two assets: one riskless bond and one risky stock (stock market) whose payoff x is  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- Continuum of households  $i \in [0,1]$ , heterogenous in endowments of risky stock. Stock endowments are distributed according to (almost) Pareto distribution

$$e_i = \frac{e_H}{1 + i(e_H - e_L)/e_L}, \qquad e_H > e_L$$

Average endowment in the economy is

$$\bar{e} = \int_0^1 e_i di = \int_0^1 \frac{e_H}{1 + i(e_H - e_L)/e_L} di = \frac{e_H e_L}{e_H - e_L} \ln\left(\frac{e_H}{e_L}\right).$$

# **Ambiguity Aversion**

• Investor i has multiple priors over the true expected payoff  $\mu$ , represented by an interval

$$\mathcal{M}_i = [\mu - u_i, \mu + u_i],$$

where  $u_i$  is household i's degree of uncertainty.

• Household i chooses what share of wealth  $\theta_i$  to invest in the stock. She solves a max-min problem

$$\max_{\theta_i} \min_{\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_i} E\left[-\exp(-\alpha_i w_i)\right],$$

where  $\alpha_i$  is household i's absolute risk aversion.

# Modelling Degree of Uncertainty and Risk Aversion

Wealthier households have lower absolute risk aversion

$$\alpha_i = \gamma/e_i$$

where  $\gamma >$  0 is "relative risk aversion."

• Wealthier households have lower ambiguity about the risky stock payoff

$$u_i = 1/e_i. (1)$$

• Both assumptions are widely supported by the evidence.

## **Equilibrium**

An equilibrium is given by a stock price  $p^*$  and investors' stock weights  $\theta_i^*, i \in [0, 1]$ , such that:

- (i) given  $p^*$ , each  $\theta_i^*$ ,  $i \in [0, 1]$ , solves investor i's optimization problem,
- (ii) the total number of stocks demanded by the investors,  $\int_0^1 \theta_i^* e_i di$ , equals the stock supply  $\int_0^1 e_i di$ .

# **Equilibrium with Full Participation**

- In the full participation case, we can clean analytic expressions for all endogenous quantities
- Full participation occurs in equilibrium when

$$\frac{1}{e_L} - \frac{1}{\overline{e}} < \gamma \sigma^2.$$

Hence, the dispersion of wealth should be relatively low.

Equity premium is

$$\mu - p^* = \gamma \sigma^2 + 1/\bar{e}.$$

Two terms: the usual risk premium for fundamental uncertainty + the component reflecting the average uncertainty in the economy

• Risky wealth share is  $\theta_i^* = \frac{\mu - p^* - 1/e_i}{\gamma \sigma^2}$  – increases in wealth  $e_i$ .

# **Equilibrium with Restricted Participation**

- More realistic case, some quantities are characterized implicitly
- Obtains when the earlier participation condition is not satisfied, i.e., when wealth is sufficiently dispersed
- Participation. There is a threshold  $i^* \in (0,1)$  such that poor investors  $[0,i^*]$  do not invest in the risky asset and rich investors  $[i^*,1]$  do not invest. The threshold is given bye

$$(i^* + e_L/(e_H - e_L)) \ln(1 + i^*(e_H - e_L)/e_L) - i^* = \bar{e}\gamma\sigma^2.$$

- Risky wealth share. For participants,  $\theta_i^*$  is as in the previous slide $\Rightarrow$ risky wealth share increases in wealth
- Equity premium.  $\mu p^* = \frac{e_L + i^*(e_H e_L)}{e_H e_L}$ .

Introduction Setting Equilibrium Conclusion

# **Restricted Participation and Equity Premium**

- Substantial interest in understanding the link between participation and equity premium—restricted participation is a possible explanation of the equity premium puzzle.
- Studies with exogenous restricted participation find a negative link: more participation decreases equity premium.
- Cao, Zhang, and Wang (2005), find the opposite when restricted participation is endogenous due to investors' heterogeneity in degree of ambiguity.
- Cao et al. exogenously assume that otherwise identical investors differ in degree of ambiguity, and their result is obtained by varying their ambiguity levels
- In our model, degree of ambiguity is determined by wealth, so we undertake a similar analysis by varying endowments

Introduction Setting Equilibrium Conclusion

# **Restricted Participation and Equity Premium**

- ullet A natural comparative statics: due to economic growth all households become proportionally richer. Introduce parameter k reflecting the level of wealth
- While participation level  $i^*$  and equity premium  $\mu-p^*$  are described via implicit equation, we obtain analytically the sensitivities of these parameters with respect to k

$$\frac{di^*}{dk} = \frac{\bar{e}\gamma\sigma^2}{\ln(1+i^*(e_H - e_L)/e_L)} > 0,$$

$$\frac{d(\mu - p^*)}{dk} = -\frac{i^*(1+i^*(e_H - e_L)/e_L)}{k^2e_H(k\bar{e}\gamma\sigma^2 + i^*)} < 0.$$

Introduction Setting Equilibrium Conclusion

# **Restricted Participation and Equity Premium**

- As households get richer the equity premium declines and participation level increases— both observations are consistent with empirical evidence.
- A lower (endogenous) stock market participation is associated with a higher equity premium—unlike Cao, Zhang, and Wang (2005), similar to results of studies with exogenous restricted participation.

### **Conclusion**

- We develop a simple general equilibrium model featuring wealth heterogeneity and ambiguity aversion
- The model is able to explain the three salient features of household portfolios
- Proportional increase in wealth leads to lower equity premium and higher stock market participation
- Negative link between participation and equity premium